

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,401	10/611,401 07/01/2003		Jan Ma	DAVI192.001AUS	1903
20995	7590	09/29/2006		EXAMINER	
		NS OLSON & BEA	MAYEKAR, KISHOR		
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, C	IRVINE, CA 92614			1753	
				DATE MAILED: 09/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/611,401	MA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner ·	Art Unit					
	Kishor Mayekar	1753					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	_· action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
	_						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Tuaminar					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		· ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1753

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121: 1.

Claims 1-26, drawn to a method of forming piezoelectric tubes, classified in I.

class 204, subclass 471+.

Claims 27-36, drawn to an apparatus for forming piezoelectric tubes, II.

classified in class 204, subclass 622+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Groups I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. 2.

The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be

practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus

as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used in a process for the

electrodeposition of organic materials.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above 3.

and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because

the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,401

Art Unit: 1753

4. A telephone call was made to Attorney on James Ausley on 30 August 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or

Application/Control Number: 10/611,401

Art Unit: 1753

more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone number is (571) 272-1339. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,401

Art Unit: 1753

Kishor Mayekar Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753